Example 1: E&S lecturer applying for promotion to E&S Senior Lecturer

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT/FEEDBACK

Guidance: Please use the table below to a self assessed summary of your level of achievement in each sub category. Use Section 3 to explain your self assessment and provide the supporting evidence. Please only fill in the applicant column. Further guidance is available on the Exeter Academic website.

Research		
	Applicant self assessment	Department Assessment
R1: Research Excellence	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
R2: Research Funding Portfolio	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
R3: Research Leadership	Lecturer	Agree
R4: Research practice and culture	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
R5: Research standing and reputation	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
Education		
E1: Assessment and student outcomes on	Senior Lecturer	Agree
taught modules		
E2: Academic Support and Guidance	Senior Lecturer	Mostly Agree
E3: Teaching and learning opportunities and	Senior Lecturer	Mostly Agree
curriculum development		
Business Engagement and Innovation		
BEI 1: Commercial	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
BEI 2: Impact and Partnership working	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
BEI 3: Civic involvement and public	Not Applicable	Choose an item.
engagement		
Leadership and Management		
	Lecturer	Agree
Citizenship		
	Senior Lecturer	Agree

Comments from Department Assessment – use this text box to further explain the summary assessment above

- R3: Candidate is co-supervisor for two PhD students
- E1: A strong set of evidence against each criterion with evidence for sustained delivery over a number of years. Notable innovation in education evidenced through publication and external speaking
- E2: The work carried out on student support is at programme level but the evidence for success in the role is not strong and the scale of the work is limited. The case would be strengthened by clear demonstration of success in a wider role or in several different roles of this nature.
- E3: The candidate slightly under-estimates their achievements here so my assessment is verging on AP level in this sub-category. This is an impressive set of evidence demonstrating really excellent curriculum development over a sustained period, and including establishment of a new PGT programme that is already recruiting significant numbers of international students.
- L&M: This candidate has contributed appropriately and successfully at Lecturer level but if promoted would need to raise their level of responsibility and influence.
- C: This candidate makes a notable contribution to the department and is almost always at departmental events and occasions including graduation, open days etc., as well as being ready to step in at short notice to cover unexpected needs.

Faculty Panel Assessment decision and feedback- please complete this section as the information in this box will be fed back to the applicant.

University panel decision and feedback (AP/P only) Promotion awarded	☐ Approve	□ Not approved
		_ no. approved

Example 2: E&R Senior Lecturer applying for Associate Professor

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT/FEEDBACK

Guidance: Please use the table below to a self assessed summary of your level of achievement in each sub category. Use Section 3 to explain your self assessment and provide the supporting evidence. Please only fill in the applicant column. Further guidance is available on the Exeter Academic website.

Research		
	Applicant self assessment	Department Assessment
R1: Research Excellence	Associate Professor	Agree
R2: Research Funding Portfolio	Associate Professor	Mostly Agree
R3: Research Leadership	Associate Professor	Mostly Agree
R4: Research practice and culture	Associate Professor	Agree
R5: Research standing and reputation	Associate Professor	Mostly Agree
Education		
E1: Assessment and student outcomes on taught modules	Associate Professor	Agree
E2: Academic Support and Guidance	Senior Lecturer	Agree
E3: Teaching and learning opportunities and curriculum development	Senior Lecturer	Agree
Business Engagement and Innovation		
BEI 1: Commercial	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
BEI 2: Impact and Partnership working	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
BEI 3: Civic involvement and public engagement	Senior Lecturer	Agree
Leadership and Management		
	Associate Professor	Disagree
Citizenship		
	Associate Professor	Agree

Comments from Department Assessment – use this text box to further explain the summary assessment above

- R1: Strong track record of outputs, with a notable increase in the quality of outputs since promotion to Senior lecturer.
- R2: Demonstrably exceeds criteria achievement is certainly at or close to AP level. The self assessment slightly under-rates achievements her as they are achieving almost double the departmental research income per FTE over the last three years.
- R3: Evidence of research supervision to completion but research supervision is relatively short so harder to evidence sustained performance at AP level.
- R5: The candidate receives invitations and participates in external conferences, but has not led very many external events and is not strongly engaged with funding bodies through e.g. peer review of grants.
- E1: Strong evidence of achievement at this level. Notably, and despite funded research commitments, the candidate played a major role in developing assessment strategy and policy for the department, which led to an improvement in NSS evaluations.
- E2: Strong personal track record of student support evidenced, and wider departmental role linked to guidance on assessment and feedback.
- E3: Good evidence of consistent quality in module development and delivery including participation in global challenges.
- BEI3: Good examples of media and public engagement.

L&M: The self assessment over-states the candidate has undertaken relatively minor rearticularly of their research team.	•	σ,
Citizenship: The candidate is an active mem colleagues and the University. They are acti the work of the University group on xxxx.	•	•
Faculty Panel Assessment decision and fe box will be fed back to the applicant.	eedback- please complete this se	ction as the information in this
University panel decision and feedback (A	AP/P only)	
Promotion awarded	☐ Approve	□ Not approved

Example 3: Research Fellow applying for Senior Research Fellow

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT/FEEDBACK

Guidance: Please use the table below to a self assessed summary of your level of achievement in each sub category. Use Section 3 to explain your self assessment and provide the supporting evidence. Please only fill in the applicant column. Further guidance is available on the Exeter Academic website.

Research		
	Applicant self assessment	Department Assessment
R1: Research Excellence	Senior Research Fellow	Agree
R2: Research Funding Portfolio	Senior Research Fellow	Agree
R3: Research Leadership	Senior Research Fellow	Agree
R4: Research practice and culture	Senior Research Fellow Agree	
R5: Research standing and reputation	Senior Research Fellow	Mostly Agree
Education		
E1: Assessment and student outcomes on	Research Fellow	Agree
taught modules		
E2: Academic Support and Guidance	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
E3: Teaching and learning opportunities and	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
curriculum development		
Business Engagement and Innovation		
BEI 1: Commercial	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
BEI 2: Impact and Partnership working	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
BEI 3: Civic involvement and public	Research Fellow	Agree
engagement		
Leadership and Management		
	Senior Research Fellow	Mostly Agree
Citizenship		
	Senior Research Fellow	Agree

Comments from Department Assessment – use this text box to further explain the summary assessment above

- R1: The candidate has now led on a series of high quality outputs, showing the development of worldleading research in their own right.
- R2: The award of the individual fellowship is clear evidence of their ability to generate funding for their research, plus their participation in several large grant applications shows they are in demand as a contributor to large inter-disciplinary projects.
- R3: They have now been appointed as lead researcher on two significant projects and are establishing themselves as an independent research lead through their fellowship award. They have been a key supervisor for three PhD students.
- R4: Notable contribution to research practice and culture in the department as ECR lead on open research practice.
- R5: Insufficient evidence. Has not yet been very active externally nor demonstrated external recognition at this level.
- E1: has contributed to teaching and jointly led a module that received good student feedback
- BEI3: Has contributed to media and delivered talks to local community events
- L&M: Overstates their contribution here and performance is solidly at research fellow level. Has helped with organisation of seminars within their research group but neds to develop wider roles in the department to achieve SF level.

Citizenship: A very active member of the research	•		
research grants and now a fellowship, the candi activities, including open days and other student			n a range ot departmental
Faculty Panel Assessment decision and feedb	ack- please co	mplete this sectio	n as the information in this
box will be fed back to the applicant.			
University panel decision and feedback (AP/P	only)		
Promotion awarded		\square Approve	\square Not approved